SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sheet. (SEE II	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
BARBARA ELROD		NCO FINANCIA	NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	County of Residence of	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add	note: In Lan	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE		
Craig Thor Kimmel, E		LAND	INVOLVED.		
Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike	P.C.	Attorneys (If Known)			
Ambler, PA 19002					
(215) 540-8888	ALCTION	HI CITIZENCIIID OF D	DINCIPAL DADTIES		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	and One Box for Defendant)	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		TF DEF  1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr  of Business In Thi		
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	2 🗇 2 Incorporated and I		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In A		
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	<b>0</b> 6 <b>0</b> 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR	tY ☐ 610 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	□ 310 Airplane □ 362 Personal Injury · Med. Malpractic		☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	<ul><li>410 Antitrust</li><li>430 Banks and Banking</li></ul>	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 365 Personal Injury	of Property 21 USC 881	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	□ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability Slander □ 368 Asbestos Persona	al	☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	□ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability	☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER	Safety/Health		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	
(Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 345 Marine Product □ 370 Other Fraud Liability □ 371 Truth in Lending		SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits  ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 380 Other Personal □ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage	710 Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange  875 Customer Challenge	
☐ 190 Other Contract☐ 195 Contract Product Liability☐	Product Liability 385 Property Damage	e 🗖 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410 ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
REAL PROPERTY  ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO  ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacai		FEDERAL TAX SUITS  870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	□ 442 Employment Sentence □ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	or Defendant)  ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations   530 General	20000000 Day 10000	26 USC 7609	Act	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & Ot	her 462 Naturalization Application		<ul> <li>900Appeal of Fee Determination</li> <li>Under Equal Access</li> </ul>	
S 20	Employment	☐ 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee		to Justice  950 Constitutionality of	
	Other	☐ 465 Other Immigration		State Statutes	
	□ 440 Other Civil Rights	Actions			
V. ORIGIN  (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  2 Removed from State Court  Appeal to District  Consolidation  Appeal to District  Consolidation  Appeal to District  Appea					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	re filing (Do not cite jurisdiction:	al statutes unless diversity):	Judgment •	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A	ct			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	4	
Explanation:			,		
DATE	SIGNATURE O	F ATTORNEY OF RECORD			
07/27/12		Ly			

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

BARBARA ELROD		CIVIL ACTION	•
v.	: :		
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEM	: S, INC. : :	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a Case filing the complaint and serve a side of this form.) In the evolution, that defendant shall	Management Track Deacopy on all defendants. ent that a defendant do all, with its first appearant Case Management Track	elay Reduction Plan of this court, counsignation Form in all civil cases at the to (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reserved submit to the clerk of court and served Designation Form specifying the track to	ime of everse ng said on the
SELECT ONE OF THE FOI	LLOWING CASE MA	NAGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases bro	ought under 28 U.S.C. §	2241 through § 2255.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases req and Human Services denying	_	sion of the Secretary of Health ity Benefits.	( )
(c) Arbitration – Cases require	d to be designated for a	bitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving exposure to asbestos.	claims for personal inju	ary or property damage from	( )
(e) Special Management – Cas commonly referred to as co the court. (See reverse side management cases.)	mplex and that need spe	ecial or intense management by	( )
(f) Standard Management – Ca	ases that do not fall into	any one of the other tracks.	( )
	Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney-at-law	Plaintiff, Barbara Elrod Attorney for	
	77-788-2864 AX Number	kimmel@creditlaw.com E-Mail Address	

## Case 2:12-cv-04271-MAM Document 1 Filed 07/27/12 Page 3 of 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.						
Address of Plaintiff: 430N. Mathets St., Orleans IN 47452						
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Rd, Horsham PA 191044						
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:						
(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)						
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a						
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	Yes□ No♥					
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No.					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:						
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year.	ear previously terminated action in this court?  Yes□ No  Yes□ No					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?						
	Yes D No					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier to						
terminated action in this court?	Yes No					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	ts case filed by the same individual?					
	Yes No No					
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)						
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
1. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts					
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury					
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation					
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury					
5. Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury					
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please					
	specify)					
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability					
8. ☐ Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos					
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases					
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)					
11. All other Federal Question Cases 50.5. C. 51092 (Please specify)						
ARBITRATION CERT						
I, CYCIO NOV HYM MO, counsel of record do hereby certification of the counsel of the						
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of					
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.						
DATE: 07/27/12	51100					
Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#						
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court						
except as noted above.	F21122					
DATE: U   Q     Q	51100					
Attorney-at-law	Attorney I.D.#					

CIV. 609 (6/08)

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 BARBARA ELROD, 4 Plaintiff 5 Case No.: v. 6 COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR 7 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., JURY TRIAL 8 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 9 10 COMPLAINT 11 BARBARA ELROD ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & 12 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. 13 ("Defendant"): 14 INTRODUCTION 15 Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 1. 16 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 17 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 18 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 19 20 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 21 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 22 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 23 3. Defendant has its corporate headquarters in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania 24 and as such, personal jurisdiction is established. 25 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Orleans, Indiana 47452.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. In the alternative, Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a), and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, PA 19044.
- 9. Defendant collects, and attempts to collect, consumer debts incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors and debt buyers using the U.S. Mail, telephone and/or internet.
- 10. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 11. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 12. At all pertinent times hereto, Defendant was hired to collect a consumer debt and attempted to collect that debt from Plaintiff.
- 13. Upon information and belief, the debt at issue arose out of transactions that were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 14. Plaintiff never incurred any debts in connection with business or commercial activities and, therefore, the alleged debt, if truly an obligation owed by him, could have only arisen from a financial obligation for primarily personal, family or household purposes.

- 15. Beginning in or around February 2012, and continuing through July 2012, Defendant continuously and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff on her home telephone seeking and demanding payment of the alleged debt.
- 16. During the relevant period, Defendant contacted Plaintiff, on average, two (2) to four (4) times a day, totaling at times, more than ten (10) collection calls a week.
- 17. Plaintiff uses the caller-id service for her home telephone and does not answer calls from numbers that she does not recognize.
- 18. Plaintiff was unfamiliar with Defendant's telephone number and did not answer many of Defendant's collection calls. As a result of the call methods employed by NCO, Plaintiff was exposed to repeated ringing of her telephone when she did not answer, and in those instances when Defendant left a message, all were pre-recorded computer-generated and generic.
- 19. Plaintiff had difficulty understanding the messages as the speed of the automated recordings utilized by Defendant were too fast and unclear.
- 20. After enlisting assistance from others to understand the message, Plaintiff called the contact number provided in voicemails, (215) 442-8300, and heard a computer-generated, pre-recorded greeting.
- 21. Following the prompts, Plaintiff entered the first four letters of her last name, but was unable to speak with a live collector. Each time after that, if she did not enter an extension number, the computer automatically ended the call after abruptly saying "goodbye."
- 22. Defendant called incessantly, yet provided no means for Plaintiff to return the call and speak with a human being.
  - 23. Defendant's actions were harassing and annoying, unfair and unconscionable as

it failed to provide any information about the debt in messages in addition to making it impossible to speak with a live collector.

- 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant's use of automated dialing and computer generated calls had the effect of harassing Plaintiff and frustrating her efforts to respond.
- 25. Defendant placed at least one collection call to Plaintiff at or after 9:00 p.m., an inconvenient time.
- 26. Within five days of its initial communication with Plaintiff, Defendant failed to send Plaintiff written notification regarding her rights to dispute the debt and/or request verification of the debt.

## DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

27. In its actions to collect a debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:

#### COUNT I

- a. A debt collector violates §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA by contacting a consumer at any unusual time or place or a time or place known or which should be known to be inconvenient to the consumer, and shall not contact a consumer before 8:00 a.m. and/or after 9:00 p.m.
- b. Here, Defendant violated §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA by contacting Plaintiff's home telephone at 9:00 p.m. and continuing the call past 9:00 p.m.

### **COUNT II**

c. A debt collector violates §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in

connection with the collection of a debt;

- d. A debt collector violates §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a consumer's phone to ring repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass Plaintiff.
- e. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) by continuously and repetitively calling Plaintiff's home telephone (2) to four (4) times a day, resulting at times, in more than ten (10) collection calls a week in attempts to collect the alleged debt.

### **COUNT III**

- a. A debt collector violates §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and unconscionable means in connection with the collection of a debt.
- b. Here, Defendant's conduct was unconscionable and violative of §1692f of the FDCPA because it continuously and repetitively left Plaintiff automated voicemails with a number to call that did not allow Plaintiff to speak with a live collector.

### **COUNT IV**

a. A debt collector violates §1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send to the consumer, with five days after its initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of a debt, a written notice containing: (1) the amount of the debt; (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed; (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector; (4) a statement that if the consumer

notifies the debt collector in writing within thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

b. Here, Defendant violated §1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of her rights to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt or providing her with the name of the original creditor and the amount of the debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, BARBARA ELROD, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

### DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, BARBARA ELROD, demands a jury trial in this case.

### RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

## Case 2:12-cv-04271-MAM Document 1 Filed 07/27/12 Page 10 of 10

Date: 07 27 By: Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney ID No. 57100 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864 kimmel@creditlaw.com Email: